arXiv:1606.01697v1 [hep-ph] 6 Jun 2016

Neutrino masses and mixing in A_5 with flavour antisymmetry

Anjan S. Joshipura[1,*] and Newton Nath[1,2,†]

[1] *Physical Research Laboratory, Navarangpura, Ahmedabad 380 009, India.*

[2] *Indian Institute of Technology, Gandhinagar, Ahmedabad–382424, India.*

Abstract

We discuss consequences of assuming that the (Majorana) neutrino mass matrix M_ν and the charged lepton mass matrix M_l satisfy, $S_\nu^T M_\nu S_\nu = -M_\nu$, $T_l^\dagger M_l M_l^\dagger T_l = M_l M_l^\dagger$ with respect to some discrete groups S_ν, T_l contained in A_5. These assumptions lead to a neutrino mass spectrum with two degenerate and one massless neutrino and also constrain mixing among them. We derive possible mixing patterns following from the choices $S_\nu = Z_2,\ Z_2 \times Z_2$ and $T_l = Z_2,\ Z_2 \times Z_2,\ Z_3,\ Z_5$ as subgroups of A_5. One predicts the maximal atmospheric neutrino mixing angle θ_{23} and μ-τ reflection symmetry in large number of cases but it is also possible to obtain non-maximal values for θ_{23}. Only the third column of the neutrino mixing matrix can be obtained at the leading order due to degeneracy in masses of two of the neutrinos. We take up a specific example within A_5 group and identify Higgs vacuum expectations values which realize the above assumptions. Non-leading terms present in this example are shown to lead to splitting among degenerate pairs and a consistent description of both neutrino masses and mixing angles.

* anjan@prl.res.in

† newton@prl.res.in

I. INTRODUCTION

Two decades of neutrino oscillation experiments have determined five of the key parameters describing oscillations of three neutrinos. These are three mixing angles θ_{ij} , $(i, j = 1, 2, 3; i < j)$ and two $(\text{mass})^2$ differences $\Delta_\odot$ and Δ_A controlling the oscillations of the solar and the atmospheric neutrinos respectively. Overall neutrino mass scale and three CP violating phases still remain to be determined. There already exists hint that the CP phase δ may be nearly maximal.

Theoretical frameworks describing neutrino masses and mixing angles try to understand the values of the observed parameters and aim to predict the unknown ones. Flavour symmetries provide concrete framework to do this. A systematic approach based on flavour symmetries has evolved in last several years, see reviews [1–5] and references therein. This is based on the observation that patterns of neutrino masses and mixing is intimately linked to the residual symmetries of the neutrino and the charged lepton mass matrices [6–8]. These residual symmetries of mass matrices can be related to the full symmetry G_f of the underlying theory by assuming that former symmetries are contained in G_f. This provides a direct link between the group theoretical structure of G_f and the observed mixing angles. This approach has been used to predict various mixing patterns consistent with observations in large number of cases with many different discrete symmetry groups G_f [1–5, 9].

The above approach is also generalized to link both the mass and the mixing patterns of neutrinos to some underlying symmetries. Three possible neutrino mass patterns provide a good zeroeth order approximation to the observed neutrino mass spectrum, fully degenerate spectrum, quasi degenerate spectrum with two degenerate neutrinos and a spectrum with two massive and one massless neutrinos. A systematic procedure is evolved to relate these patterns to underlying discrete symmetries. A general analysis is presented for three classes of groups, the discrete von-Dyck groups in case of the degenerate and quasi degenerate spectrum [10], all possible discrete subgroups of $SU(3)$ having 3 dimensional irreducible representation in case of the quasi degenerate neutrinos [11] and a large class of discrete subgroups of $U(3)$ in case of one massless neutrino [12–14] .

The basic assumption in the above approaches is that the underlying theory is invariant under some discrete group G_f but the Higgs vacuum expectation value (vev) determining neutrino mass matrix M_ν and the Hermitian combination of the charged lepton mass matrix $M_l M_l^\dagger$ remain invariant under smaller subgroups G_ν and G_l of G_f. The structure of these groups and their embedding in G_f is sufficient for the determination of mixing patterns without the knowledge of the detailed dynamics. A different dynamical possibility was studied in [15]. Here it is assumed that the Higgs vacuum expectation values breaking flavour group G_f lead to a neutrino mass matrix which displays antisymmetry. Specifically, M_ν satisfies

$$S_\nu^T M_\nu S_\nu = -M_\nu \tag{1}$$

for some subgroups S_ν of G_f. This assumption was shown [15] to constrain not just the mixing angles but also the neutrino mass spectrum which could be determined purely from

the group theoretical arguments. Detailed mixing and mass patterns allowed within the discrete subgroups $\Delta(3N^2)$ and $\Delta(6N^2)$ and a specific dynamical realization of the basic idea in case of the group $A_4 \equiv \Delta(3.2^2)$ was discussed in [15]. Also it was shown in a specific example that the antisymmetry of the mass matrix can arise from the minimization of some suitable potential. Here we pursue this idea further and apply it to the symmetry group A_5. We discuss mass patterns and all the mixing patterns possible within A_5 using the idea of flavour antisymmetry of neutrino mass matrix. A_5 has been used in the past [16–19] to predict the neutrino mixing patterns assuming flavour symmetry. The mixing patterns predicted here are quite different compared to these cases.

Detailed analysis of A_5 also becomes interesting from a related point of view. It was shown [20] that all the discrete subgroups of $O(3)$ can lead to universal prediction $\theta_{23} = \frac{\pi}{4}$ and $|\delta| = \frac{\pi}{2}$ when G_ν is chosen as $Z_2 \times Z_2$ or Z_m and G_l is chosen as Z_n, $m, n \geq 3$. As we will see, the same predictions also follow when neutrino mass matrix possesses residual antisymmetry instead of symmetry.

We review in the next section some of the properties of the group A_5 relevant for our study. We introduce the idea of flavour antisymmetry in section 3 and discuss its consequences. Section 4 is devoted to a detailed discussion of various mixing patterns possible within the group A_5 under the assumption of the flavour antisymmetry. Section 5 discusses explicit realization of the ideas discussed in the previous section. The last section summarizes the findings.

II. A_5 AND ITS ABELIAN SUBGROUPS

Group theory of A_5 is discussed in several papers [16–19, 21]. We summarize here the features which we require for subsequent analysis. The A_5 group has sixty elements and five conjugacy classes. The group can be represented in terms of three generators E, f_1, H,

$$H = 1/2 \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \mu_- & \mu_+ \\ \mu_- & \mu_+ & -1 \\ \mu_+ & -1 & \mu_- \end{pmatrix} \quad ; \quad E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad ; \quad f_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} , \tag{2}$$

with $\mu_\pm = 1/2(-1 \pm \sqrt{5})$ which provide a faithful 3 dimensional irreducible representation. The above equation defines the basis of the representation labeled as 3_1 and we will refer to this basis as symmetry basis. Multiple products of these generate all the sixty elements of A_5. It is convenient for our purpose to discuss these elements in terms of the Z_n subgroups they form. We list them and their required properties below.

- $\mathcal{Z}_2$: 15 Z_2 subgroups of A_5 are generated by the elements:

$$O_2 \equiv (f_a, H, f_a H f_a, EHE^{-1}, E^{-1}HE, Ef_aHf_aE^{-1}, E^{-1}f_aHf_aE) , \tag{3}$$

  where $a = 1, 2, 3$, $f_2 = E^2 f_1 E, f_3 = E^2 f_2 E$ and f_1 is given by eq.(2). One also needs the matrices which diagonalize the elements in O_2 when Z_2 is used as a residual

symmetry. These get determined by a matrix V_H which diagonalizes H. Let V_H be such matrix then

$$V_H^\dagger H V_H = \text{diag.}(1,-1,-1)\ . \tag{4}$$

Explicitly,

$$V_H = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{\mu_-}{2} & \frac{\mu_-}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{\mu_+}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{\mu_+}{2} & \frac{\mu_+}{2\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{\mu_-}{\sqrt{3}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{5}$$

The above V_H is arbitrary upto a unitary rotation in the 23 plane. We shall use the above explicit form for the subsequent analysis. We can express all the elements of A_5 in the form QPQ^{-1}. This simplifies their diagonalization since $U_{QPQ^{-1}} = QU_P$ where, U_g diagonalizes the element g. Using this, the matrices diagonalizing all the 15 elements in O_2 can be expressed in terms of V_H and are given by the following set

$$\mathcal{U}_2 \equiv (I, V_H, f_a V_H, EV_H, E^{-1}V_H, Ef_aV_H, E^{-1}f_aV_H)\ . \tag{6}$$

Respective entries of this set correspond to matrices which diagonalize the corresponding elements of O_2.

- $\mathcal{Z}_2 \times \mathcal{Z}_2$: Not all the fifteen elements in O_2 commute among themselves. But one can find five sets of three commuting elements among O_2. These three along with identity form a $Z_2 \times Z_2$ subgroup of A_5. These subgroups are listed in Table I. Since S_1 and S_2

S_1	S_2	S_3	U_c
f_1	f_3	f_2	I
H	$E^{-1}f_2Hf_2E$	$Ef_3Hf_3E^{-1}$	V_HR_μ
f_1Hf_1	$E^{-1}f_1Hf_1E$	$Ef_1Hf_1E^{-1}$	$f_1V_HR_\mu$
f_2Hf_2	$E^{-1}f_3Hf_3E$	EHE^{-1}	$f_2V_HR_\mu$
f_3Hf_3	$E^{-1}HE$	$Ef_2Hf_2E^{-1}$	$f_3V_HR_\mu$

TABLE I. Elements of the five $Z_2 \times Z_2$ subgroups of A_5 along with their combined diagonalizing matrices U_c defined in the text. S_1, S_2, S_3 together with identity form a $Z_2 \times Z_2$ subgroup of A_5.

in the table commute, they can be simultaneously diagonalized by a matrix U_c. We shall define U_c as

$$\begin{aligned} U_c^\dagger S_1 U_c &= f_1 = \text{diag.}(1,-1,-1)\ , \\ U_c^\dagger S_2 U_c &= f_3 = \text{diag.}(-1,-1,1)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The same matrix U_c also puts $S_3 = S_1S_2$ into a diagonal form f_2. As before, the matrix U_c can also be expressed in terms of V_H diagonalizing H and a real rotation

R_μ in the 23 plane

$$R_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sin\theta_\mu & \cos\theta_\mu \\ 0 & \cos\theta_\mu & \sin\theta_\mu \end{pmatrix} , \tag{8}$$

where

$$\tan\theta_\mu = \mu_- - 1 .$$

U_c for all five subgroups are given in Table I.

- $\mathcal{Z}_3$ subgroups: The 20 elements generating Z_3 subgroups of A_5 are given by the set

$$O_3 = (E^m, f_a E^m f_a, A^m, EA^m E^{-1}, E^{-1}A^m E, AE^m A^{-1}, Af_{2,3}E^m f_{2,3}A^{-1}) . \tag{9}$$

$m = 1, 2$,$a = 1, 2, 3$ and the matrix $A \equiv Hf_1$. The matrices diagonalizing these elements can be expressed in terms of the matrices U_ω and U_A which diagonalize E and A respectively:

$$\mathcal{U}_3 = (U_\omega, f_a U_\omega, U_A, EU_A, E^{-1}U_A, AU_\omega, Af_{2,3}U_\omega) . \tag{10}$$

U_ω is given by

$$U_\omega = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega \end{pmatrix} , \tag{11}$$

$\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$ and U_A can be found in the Appendix of the reference [20].

- $\mathcal{Z}_5$ subgroups: There are 24 different Z_5 subgroups within A_5. Their generating elements can be expressed in terms of $T \equiv f_1 EH$, E and $f_{1,2,3}$ as follows:

$$O_5 = (T^p, f_2 T^P f_2, ET^p E^{-1}, E^{-1}T^p E, Ef_2 T^p f_2 E^{-1}, E^{-1} f_2 T^p f_2 E) , \tag{12}$$

where $p = 1, 2, 3, 4$. This set is diagonalized by

$$\mathcal{U}_5 = (U_T, f_2 U_T, EU_T, E^{-1}U_T, Ef_2 U_T, E^{-1} f_2 U_T) , \tag{13}$$

where U_T is a matrix diagonalizing T. Its explicit form is given in the Appendix of [20].

The elements in the sets $O_{2,3,5}$ along with the identity constitute all the sixty elements of A_5. We note that all the matrices diagonalizing set O_3 and O_5 possess the following general form as explicitly shown in [20].

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & z_1 & z_1^* \\ x_2 & z_2 & z_2^* \\ x_3 & z_3 & z_3^* \end{pmatrix} , \tag{14}$$

where x_1, x_2, x_3 are real. We shall use this form to derive properties of the mixing matrix in the following.

III. FLAVOUR ANTISYMMETRY AND NEUTRINO MASS TEXTURES

We first briefly review the implications of the assumption of the flavour antisymmetry [15] represented by eq.(1) where S_ν is assumed to be any 3×3 matrix belonging to a discrete subgroup of $SU(3)$. The very assumption of flavour antisymmetry implies that (at least) one of the neutrinos remains massless. This simply follows by taking the determinant of eq.(1) and noting that $Det(S_\nu) = 1$. Other implications of eq.(1) become clear in a basis with diagonal S_ν. Let S_ν be diagonalized by a unitary matrix V_{S_ν} as :

$$V_{S_\nu}^\dagger S_\nu V_{S_\nu} = D_S \equiv \text{diag.}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \ , \tag{15}$$

with $\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3 = 1$. Unitarity of S_ν implies that $\lambda_{1,2,3}$ are some roots of unity. It was argued [15] that only two possible forms of D_S can lead to a neutrino mass matrix with two massive neutrinos. These are given by

$$\begin{aligned} D_{1S} &= \text{diag.}(\lambda, -\lambda^*, -1) \ , \\ D_{2S} &= \text{diag.}(\pm i, \mp i, 1) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

and their permutations. $\lambda^{2p} = 1$ for some integer p[1]. The group generated by the residual symmetry S_ν having diagonal form D_{1S} (D_{2S}) is $Z_{2p}(Z_4)$. Define

$$\tilde{M}_\nu = V_{S_\nu}^T M_\nu V_{S_\nu} \ . \tag{17}$$

Then the allowed textures of $\tilde{M}_\nu$ get determined by the allowed forms of D_S. There exists only four allowed textures for $\tilde{M}_\nu$ which correspond to one massless and a degenerate or non-degenerate pair of neutrinos. If $\lambda = 1$ then the relevant texture is given by :

$$\tilde{M}_\nu = m_0 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_\nu & s_\nu e^{i\beta_\nu} \\ c_\nu & 0 & 0 \\ s_\nu e^{i\beta_\nu} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{18}$$

where $c_\nu = \cos\theta_\nu, s_\nu = \sin\theta_\nu$. $\tilde{M}_\nu$ describes a massless and a degenerate pair of neutrinos. Other three textures are possible for other values of λ but as we shall see only the case given in eq.(18) can get realized in A_5.

A. The allowed residual symmetries in A_5

We now discuss possible residual symmetries of the leptonic mass matrices within A_5 and the resulting mixing patterns. The choices of residual antisymmetry of M_ν within A_5 are restricted. These can be obtained simply from the characters χ of all the sixty elements. χ is real for all the elements. In this case, the eigenvalues of any element are given by

$$(1, \frac{1}{2}\left(\chi - 1 + \sqrt{(\chi-1)^2 - 4}\right), \frac{1}{2}\left(\chi - 1 - \sqrt{(\chi-1)^2 - 4}\right)) \ . \tag{19}$$

[1] Note that eq.(1) requires that $S_\nu^{2p} = 1$ if M_ν is not identically zero and S_ν has finite order. This translates to $\lambda^{2p} = 1$.

These eigenvalues must have the form displayed in one of the two equations given in (16) in order for an element with character χ to be able to be a viable antisymmetry operator. Elements belonging to Z_3 and Z_5 subgroups have $\chi = 0$ and $(-\mu_+, -\mu_-)$. Their eigenvalues following from above do not have these forms. Thus only viable choice for the antisymmetry operator S_ν can be any element in the set O_2 having character -1 and eigenvalues $(1, -1, -1)$. We shall require that at least one of the symmetries of M_ν acts according to eq.(1). We will thus consider two possible choices of the residual neutrino symmetries (1) $S_\nu = Z_2$ as antisymmetry and (2) $S_\nu = Z_2 \times Z_2$ where one of the Z_2 transforms M_ν into it's negative and the other leaves it invariant. In contrast, the eigenvalues of the residual symmetry of $M_l M_l^\dagger$ is not restricted and we can take any of the Z_n of A_5 as the residual symmetry T_l. We shall consider the following choices for T_l (a) (Z_3, Z_5) groups generated by (O_3, O_5) (b) five $Z_2 \times Z_2$ subgroups or (c) elements of the Z_2 subgroups contained in O_2. Possible choices of S_ν and T_l determine the leptonic mixing matrix.

Elements in O_2 when used as antisymmetry operator lead to a unique form for the neutrino mass matrix $\tilde{M}_\nu$ given in eq.(18). This texture describes a pair of degenerate and one massless neutrino. Residual antisymmetry in this case is Z_2. The neutrino mass matrix in eq.(18) can be diagonalized by a matrix V_ν:

$$V_\nu^T \tilde{M}_\nu V_\nu = \text{diag.}(m_0, m_0, 0) \tag{20}$$

where,

$$V_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{c_\nu}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{ic_\nu}{\sqrt{2}} & -s_\nu \\ \frac{s_\nu}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i\beta_\nu} & \frac{is_\nu}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i\beta_\nu} & c_\nu e^{-i\beta_\nu} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi & -\sin\psi & 0 \\ \sin\psi & \cos\psi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{21}$$

The arbitrary rotation by an angle ψ originates due to degeneracy in masses. It follows from eqs.(17,20) that the matrix M_ν is diagonalized by the product $V_{S_\nu} V_\nu$. Thus the neutrino mixing matrix with the residual antisymmetry Z_2 in the symmetry basis is given by

$$U_\nu^I = V_{S_\nu} V_\nu \ . \tag{22}$$

Note that the U_ν^I gets determined by the structure of S_ν and essentially two unknown angles θ_ν and β_ν. The unknowns can be fixed if the residual symmetry is chosen as $Z_2 \times Z_2$. Consider the $Z_2 \times Z_2$ groups generated by $S_{1\nu} = S_1$ and $S_{2\nu} = S_2$ where S_1, S_2 are as in Table I. They satisfy

$$S_1^T M_\nu S_1 = -M_\nu \qquad ; \qquad S_2^T M_\nu S_2 = M_\nu \ . \tag{23}$$

As discussed in the previous section, both S_1 and S_2 are diagonalized by U_c as given in Table I. The structure of the neutrino mass matrix in this case becomes transparent in the basis with diagonal S_1, S_2 Let

$$M'_\nu = U_c^T M_\nu U_c \ . \tag{24}$$

Eq.(23) reduces in the prime basis to

$$f_1^T M'_\nu f_1 = -M'_\nu \qquad , \qquad f_3^T M'_\nu f_3 = M'_\nu \ . \tag{25}$$

The first of this equation implies the form (18) for M'_ν. The second imposed on this then leads to the restriction $s_\nu = 0, c_\nu = 1$. The final M'_ν is determined by an overall scale m_0 and is diagonalized by $U_{12} \equiv R_{12}(\frac{\pi}{4})\text{diag.}(1, i, 1)$. It follows from this and eq.(24) that M_ν is diagonalized by

$$U_\nu^{II} = U_c U_{12} = U_c R_{12}(\frac{\pi}{4})\text{diag.}(1, i, 1) \ . \tag{26}$$

The matrix U_l diagonalizing $M_l M_l^\dagger$ also gets determined by its symmetry. Assume that

$$T_l^\dagger M_l M_l^\dagger T_l = M_l M_l^\dagger \ . \tag{27}$$

This implies that T_l commutes with $M_l M_l^\dagger$. Hence the matrix U_{T_l} diagonalizing T_l can be taken to be the matrix which diagonalizes $M_l M_l^\dagger$ also. Three possible choices of T_l referred as (a),(b),(c) above lead to specific forms of U_l:

$$\begin{aligned} U_l^a &= U_{3,5} \ , \\ U_l^b &= U_c \ , \\ U_l^c &= U_2 U_{23} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Here, $U_{3,5}$ are given by any matrix in the set, $\mathcal{U}_3$, eq.(10) and $\mathcal{U}_5$, eq.(13) when T_l belongs to O_3 or O_5 respectively. U_c is given in Table I for T_l belonging to $Z_2 \times Z_2$. There is some arbitrariness in the choice of U_l when T_l is chosen as any of the element O_2 forming a Z_2. These elements have eigenvalues $(1, -1, -1)$ and matrix diagonalizing T_l is arbitrary up to a unitary rotation in the 23 plane. This rotation can be taken without the loss of generality to $U_{23} \equiv \text{diag.}(1, 1, e^{i\beta_l})R_{23}(\theta_l)$. Various combinations of $U_l^{a,b,c}$ and $U_\nu^{I,II}$ give all possible $U \equiv U_l^\dagger U_\nu$ in A_5.

IV. MIXING PATTERNS IN A_5

As discussed, all possible structure of the PMNS matrix U in A_5 with flavour antisymmetry are given by

$$U \sim U_l^{\dagger a,b,c} U_\nu^{I,II} \ . \tag{29}$$

Not all of these give viable mixing pattern for neutrinos as we will show. Before discussing individual choices, we first derive a fairly general property of the mixing matrix with flavour antisymmetry. If (a) the neutrino mass matrix shows flavour antisymmetry eq.(1), with $S_\nu^2 = 1$ and a real mixing matrix V_ν or (b) if it has residual symmetry structure $Z_2 \times Z_2$ as in eq.(23) and if the charged lepton matrix $M_l M_l^\dagger$ is invariant under a residual symmetry Z_3 or Z_5 within A_5 then the mixing matrix can be chosen to have the property

$$|U_{\mu i}| = |U_{\tau i}| \ , \ (i = 1, 2, 3) \ . \tag{30}$$

This property known as the $\mu - \tau$ reflection symmetry [22] or generalized μ-τ symmetry was derived [23] using a generalized definition of CP. The same result was derived from more

general assumptions in case of the non-degenerate neutrinos [20, 24] as well as for a pair of degenerate neutrinos [10, 20]. The basic assumption in these cases was the existence of a real residual symmetry. The same result also follows when the symmetry is replaced by antisymmetry as we discuss below.

The equality $|U_{\mu 3}| = |U_{\tau 3}|$ implies the maximal atmospheric mixing angle. The equality $|U_{\mu 2}| = |U_{\tau 2}|$ then leads to the maximal CP phase $|\delta| = \frac{\pi}{2}$ if neutrinos are non-degenerate and $s_{13} \neq 0$. For the degenerate solar pair, the first two columns of U depend on an unknown mixing angle ψ as given in eq.(21). But by considering ψ invariant combination of the observables, it was argued [10] that one instead gets $|\delta - \kappa| = \frac{\pi}{2}$ where κ is the Majorana phase.

The proof of eq.(30) is straightforward and follows the proof given in [20] in case of the flavour symmetry. Assume that neutrino mass matrix $\tilde{M}_\nu$ has the structure (18) with $\beta_\nu = 0$. Then it is diagonalized by $U_\nu^I = U_2 V_\nu$. Here U_2 belonging to the set $\mathcal{U}_2$ is real. For $\beta_\nu = 0$, one therefore gets $U_\nu^I = O_\nu P$, with O_ν being a real orthogonal matrix and $P = \text{diag.}(1, i, 1)$. A similar structure of U_ν also holds in case II with $Z_2 \times Z_2$ symmetry since in this case, the neutrino mixing matrix U_ν is given by U_ν^{II}, eq.(26) which also can be written as an orthogonal matrix times a phase matrix because of the reality of U_c. The charged lepton mixing matrix on the other hand has a general structure specified by eq.(14) when the residual charge lepton symmetry is either Z_3 or Z_5. It is then easy to see that U_l as in eq.(14) and U_ν as O_ν times a diagonal phase matrix leads to eq.(30). This result does not follow when the residual symmetry of the charged leptons is Z_2 or $Z_2 \times Z_2$ since in this case U_l does not have the form given in eq.(14).

Let us now discuss individual choices of residual symmetries and their viability or otherwise. We will work out various mixing patterns for various choices and confront them with the results of the global fits as given in [25–27]. For definiteness, we shall use the results given in [27]. The structures of $U^{I,II}$ appearing in eq.(29) are determined only up to a rotation in the 12 plane and the solar angle remains undetermined at the leading order. The third column of U is however independent of the unknown angle and can be predicted group theoretically at the zeroeth order. We shall thus concentrate on the prediction of θ_{13} and θ_{23} determined by the third column of $|U|$. Also, the ordering of eigenvalues of T_l cannot be determined group theoretically. Change in this ordering permutes the rows of U. Thus any of the entries of the third column $|U_{i3}|$ may be identified with the physical mixing elements $|U_{\alpha 3}|$ $(\alpha = e, \mu, \tau)$. In view of this, we shall consider different orderings which can give viable mixing patterns.

A. $S_\nu = Z_2$ and $T_l = Z_3$ or Z_5

There are 15 different choices of Z_2 and 20+24 choices of the $Z_3 + Z_5$ symmetry within A_5. Specific forms of U_l and U_ν as discussed before can be used to obtain $|U_{i3}|$ in all these cases. They are determined by the unknown angles θ_ν and β_ν. While the dependence of $|U_{i3}|$ on these are different for different choices of residual symmetries all the choices share

the following features

- If $\beta_\nu = 0$ then eq.(14) holds for the specific ordering of eigenvectors of T_l as given in eq.(30). The atmospheric mixing angle is predicted to be maximal for all the values of θ_ν. In this case, $|U_{e3}|$ is to be identified with the 13 element of $|U|$ since $|U_{23}| = |U_{33}|$. In all these cases, $|U_{e3}|$ depends on θ_ν which can be chosen to obtain the correct s^2_{13}.

- If $\beta_\nu \neq 0$ then any of $|U_{i3}|$ can be identified with $|U_{e3}|$. It is possible in this case to choose two unknowns θ_ν and β_ν to obtain correct θ_{13} and θ_{23}. Let us discuss a specific example with $S_\nu = E^2 f_1 H f_1 E$ and $T_l = E$ as illustration. They respectively generate Z_2 antisymmetry in M_ν and Z_3 symmetry in $M_l M_l^\dagger$. The mixing matrix is given by $U = U_\omega^\dagger E^2 f_1 V_H V_\nu$ with V_H as in eq.(5) and U_ω as in (11). The third column of the mixing matrix is then given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
|U_{13}|^2 &= \frac{1}{9}|c_\nu(1+2\mu_+) - s_\nu e^{i\beta_\nu}|^2 \ , \\
|U_{23}|^2 &= \frac{1}{36}|-2c_\nu(1-\omega\mu_+) + s_\nu e^{i\beta_\nu}(\mu_+ + 3\omega + \omega^2\mu_-)|^2 \ , \\
|U_{33}|^2 &= \frac{1}{36}|-2c_\nu(1-\omega^2\mu_+) + s_\nu e^{i\beta_\nu}(\mu_+ + 3\omega^2 + \omega\mu_-)|^2 \ . \qquad (31)
\end{aligned}
$$

  For $\beta_\nu = 0$, one gets $|U_{23}|^2 = |U_{33}|^2$ in accordance with the general result discussed above. In this case, identification of $|U_{13}|^2$ with $|U_{e3}|^2$ leads to the result $\theta_{23} = \frac{\pi}{4}$. $\theta_\nu = 0.959$ then leads to $s^2_{13} \sim 0.024$. Any of $|U_{i3}|^2$ can be identified with $|U_{e3}|^2$ when β_ν is non-zero, e.g. the choice $\beta_\nu = -1.076, \theta_\nu = -0.801$ leads to $|U_{i3}|^2 = (0.444, 0.024, 0.532)$. In this case, $|U_{23}|^2$ plays the role of $|U_{e3}|^2$. This specific ordering in U can be obtained by exchanging the first and the second column of U_ω.

B. $S_\nu = Z_2 \times Z_2$ and $T_l = Z_3$ or Z_5

In this case, S_ν can be chosen in five different ways corresponding to five different $Z_2 \times Z_2$ subgroups. The corresponding neutrino mixing matrix U_ν is given by eq.(26). As before T_l can be chosen in 44 different ways with U_l either in $\mathcal{U}_3$ or $\mathcal{U}_5$. Unlike in the previous case, both U_ν and U_l get completely fixed group theoretically. This case also predicts the maximal atmospheric mixing angle as already outlined. Possible values of θ_{13} are also fixed. Explicit evaluation of various cases reveal that in all the cases one either gets $\theta_{13} = 0$ or $s^2_{13} > 0.1$. The zero value for θ_{13} occurs for example when $S_1 = H, S_2 = E^2 f_2 H f_2 E$ and $T_l = f_3 E f_3$. One would require relatively large perturbations in this case to get θ_{13} within its 3σ range.

C. $S_\nu = Z_2 \times Z_2$ and $T_l = Z_2$

This case is characterized by completely determined $U_\nu = U_\nu^{II}$ and $U_l = U_l^c$ containing two unknowns θ_l, β_l. The explicit form of U_l^c is given in eq.(28) while U_c can be any of the

five forms given in Table I. U_l in this case does not have the general form given in eq.(14). As a result, one does not obtain eq.(30) corresponding to the μ-τ reflection symmetry and the atmospheric mixing angle is not predicted to be maximal. But this case has the following interesting feature. Explicit evaluation of $U = U_l^{c\dagger} U_\nu^{II}$ reveals that one of the entries in the third column of U is independent of the unknown angles θ_l, β_l and can be predicted group theoretically. The third column of the mixing matrix U in this case is given by

$$\begin{aligned} |U_{13}|^2 &= |(U_{T_l}^\dagger U_\nu^{II})_{13}|^2 \ , \\ |U_{23}|^2 &= |c_l (U_{T_l}^\dagger U_\nu^{II})_{23} + s_l e^{-i\beta_l} (U_{T_l}^\dagger U_\nu^{II})_{33}|^2 \ , \\ |U_{33}|^2 &= |-s_l (U_{T_l}^\dagger U_\nu^{II})_{23} + c_l e^{-i\beta_l} (U_{T_l}^\dagger U_\nu^{II})_{33}|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where T_l belongs to the set O_2 and U_{T_l} to $\mathcal{U}_2$. We get interesting pattern when we identify T_l with $S_{1\nu} = S_1$ residing in $Z_2 \times Z_2$. There exists five such choices and in all these cases, the mixing matrix U is independent of the explicit form of U_{T_l}. One gets from eq.(26) and eq.(28)

$$U = U_{23}^\dagger R_\mu R_{12}(\frac{\pi}{4}) \mathrm{diag.}(1, i, 1) \ .$$

The neutrino mass matrix $M_{\nu f} \equiv U_l^T M_\nu U_l$ in the flavour basis has the following form in this case:

$$M_{\nu f} = m_0 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\beta_l} c_\mu s_l - c_l s_\mu & e^{i\beta_l} c_l c_\mu + s_l s_\mu \\ e^{i\beta_l} c_\mu s_l - c_l s_\mu & 0 & 0 \\ e^{i\beta_l} c_l c_\mu + s_l s_\mu & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{33}$$

where $c_l = \cos\theta_l, c_\mu = \cos\theta_\mu$... etc. This form can be obtained by imposing $L_e - L_\mu - L_\tau$ symmetry on $M_{\nu f}$ as has been done in the past. Here, this symmetry arises as an effective symmetry of $M_{\nu f}$ from a very different set of basic symmetries. This symmetry leads to a degenerate pair of neutrinos and vanishing θ_{13}. The atmospheric mixing angle is determined as $\sin^2\theta_{23} = |e^{i\beta_l} c_l c_\mu + s_l s_\mu|^2$. Perturbations to this symmetry have been studied in the past [28–30]. It is possible to simultaneously generate the correct solar scale, solar angle and θ_{13} with suitable but relatively large perturbations. Consider perturbing the zero entries in eq.(33) by,

$$\delta M_{\nu f} = m_0 \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_2 & \epsilon_4 \\ 0 & \epsilon_4 & \epsilon_3 \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{34}$$

Parameters $|\epsilon|$ are assumed less than the dominant entry of $M_{\nu f}$. We give here one example of perturbations which reproduces the observed spectrum within 3σ:

$$\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4\} = \{-0.284497, 0.284497, -0.0748816, 0.182915\} \tag{35}$$

leading to

$$\{\frac{\Delta m^2_{\mathrm{sol}}}{\Delta m^2_{\mathrm{atm}}}, s^2_{12}, s^2_{13}, s^2_{23}\} = \{0.0339706, 0.358739, 0.0243674, 0.443736\} \ . \tag{36}$$

We have taken $\beta_l = 0$ and $\cos(\theta_l - \theta_\mu) \approx -0.69$. The values of parameters required to get above values is quite large and the solar angle is also near to it's 3σ limit. We have verified by randomly varying the parameters over a large range that this is a general feature of this case. Relatively large perturbation to the basic symmetry may come from some soft breaking as discussed for example in [30].

We get a non-zero $|U_{13}|^2$ when T_l is not identified with S_1. One could determine these values for different choices of T_l. The predicted $|U_{13}|^2$ is found from the explicit evaluation of various cases to take one of the three values $(0.095, 0.25, 0.65)$. Of these, only the last value provides a good leading order prediction. $|U_{13}|^2 \sim 0.65$ can be identified in this case either with $|U_{\mu 3}|^2$ or $|U_{\tau 3}|^2$ as this gives s^2_{23} close to its 3σ range $0.38 - 0.64$. [27]. This amounts to reordering of the eigenvectors of T_l. An example of this choice is provided by $S_1 = f_3 H f_3, S_2 = E^{-1} H E$ and $T_l = f_1 H f_1$. $|U_{i3}|^2$ are given in this case by

$$
\begin{aligned}
|U_{13}|^2 &= \frac{1}{4}(2 + \mu_+) \approx 0.654 \ , \\
|U_{23}|^2 &= \frac{|\mu_+ c_l + 2(1+\mu_+) s_l e^{-i\beta_l}|^2}{12(2+\mu_+)} \ , \\
|U_{33}|^2 &= \frac{|-\mu_+ s_l + 2(1+\mu_+) c_l e^{-i\beta_l}|^2}{12(2+\mu_+)} \ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{37}
$$

One could identify either the second or the third entries with s^2_{13} and determine θ_l accordingly, e.g. $\theta_l \sim 1.6488, \beta_l = 0$ leads to $s^2_{13} \equiv |U_{33}|^2 \sim 0.024$ giving $s^2_{23} c^2_{13} \equiv |U_{13}|^2 \sim 0.654$. The resulting $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ is given by 0.67. Small perturbation to this case can lead to θ_{23} within 3σ range and also split the degeneracy.

D. $S_\nu = Z_2$ and $T_l = Z_2 \times Z_2$

In this case, the Z_2 can be generated by any of the fifteen elements in O_2 while T_l is generated by $T_{1l} \equiv S_1$ and $T_{2l} \equiv S_2$, where S_1, S_2 form any of the five $Z_2 \times Z_2$ subgroups listed in Table I. The PMNS matrix in this case is given by $U = U_l^{b\dagger} U_{S_\nu} V_\nu$. Just as in the previous case, the atmospheric mixing angle is not predicted to be maximal but now unlike it, both the angles s^2_{13} and s^2_{23} depend on the unknown parameters θ_ν, β_ν. Not all the choices of the residual symmetries leads to a viable values of θ_{13}, θ_{23} in spite of the presence of the two unknowns. We determine the allowed patterns by fitting θ_ν, β_ν to the observed values of θ_{13}, θ_{23}. This allows us to identify cases which provide viable patterns of the mixing angles. One finds essentially three patterns this way. Examples of the residual symmetries, the patterns and best fit values of θ_ν, β_ν in each of these cases are listed below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_\nu = f_3 H f_3 &: \theta_\nu = 1.42417, \beta_\nu = 1.84521, s^2_{13} = 0.024, s^2_{23} = 0.455, \\
S_\nu = f_2 H f_2 &: \theta_\nu = -0.487, \beta_\nu = 0, s^2_{13} = 0.0244, s^2_{23} = 0.676 \ , \\
S_\nu = H &: \theta_\nu = -0.6716, \beta_\nu = -1.1620, s^2_{13} = 0, s^2_{23} = 0.455 \ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{38}
$$

All the above cases occur for the choice $T_{1l} = H$ and $T_{2l} = E^{-1} f_2 H f_2 E$. Similar results follow for different choices of $Z_2 \times Z_2$ as T_l but with alternative choices of S_ν. The first

case given above reproduces the observed values of the mixing angles θ_{13}, θ_{23}. The second choice gives a θ_{23} on the verge of its 3σ value but correct s^2_{13}. Thus small perturbation to this case can lead to a viable pattern. The third choice corresponding to $s^2_{13} = 0$ would need significant corrections from the perturbations and is analogous to the case already discussed in section (IIIC).

E. $S_\nu = Z_2 \times Z_2$ and $T_l = Z_2 \times Z_2$

In this case, the residual symmetries of neutrinos and the charged leptons correspond to (different) $Z_2 \times Z_2$ groups. Due to the presence of two Z_2 groups, there are no undetermined parameters and the mixing angles θ_{13}, θ_{23} get predicted group theoretically. Since we have five different $Z_2 \times Z_2$ subgroups, there are twenty different choices which would lead to a non-trivial mixing matrix U. None of these correspond to even a good zeroeth order values. The predicted third column of $|U|^2$ in all these cases is

$$|U_{i3}|^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.0954915 \\ 0.25 \\ 0.654508 \end{pmatrix} \quad . \tag{39}$$

and its permutations. These predictions are quite far from the observed mixing angles.

V. EXPLICIT REALIZATION WITH $A_5 \times Z_3$ SYMMETRY

We now discuss a realization of the above group theoretical discussion choosing a specific examples of S_ν and T_l. We discuss necessary Higgs fields and vacuum structure needed to implement above symmetries. The model presented here is very similar to the one presented in [20]. The main differences being that the neutrino symmetry considered in this reference is replaced by the neutrino antisymmetry. Implementation of antisymmetry needs imposition of an additional discrete symmetry which we choose as Z_3. We use supersymmetry as basic ingredient.

Irreducible representations (IR) of A_5 are : $1 + 3_1 + 3_2 + 4 + 5$ where 3_1 and 3_2 are non-equivalent IR. We assign l_L, l^c to 3_1 which is explicitly generated by E, H, f_1 given in eq.(2). It follows from the product rule

$$3_1 \times 3_1 = (1 + 5)_{symm} + 3_{antisym}$$

that the symmetric neutrino mass matrix can arise from $1+5$ and the charged lepton masses can arise from all three IR. The neutrino masses are generated from a 5-plet $\eta_{5\nu}$ of flavon. Various fields transform under Z_3 as

$$(l_L, \eta_\nu) \to \omega(l_L, \eta_{5\nu}) \quad , \quad l^c \to \omega^2 l^c \ .$$

The standard Higgs fields H_u, H_d and Higgs triplet Δ are singlets of $A_5 \times Z_3$.

The neutrino masses are generated from the following superpotential using the type-II seesaw mechanism:

$$W_\nu = \frac{1}{2\Lambda}(l_L \Delta l_L)_5 h_{5\nu} \eta_{5\nu} \ . \tag{40}$$

Note that the singlet term $(l_L \Delta l_L)_1$ allowed by A_5 is prevented above due to the Z_3 symmetry. The charged lepton masses are generated by three additional flavons, a singlet η_{1l}, a 5-plet η_{5l} and a 3-plet η_{3l} all transforming trivially under Z_3. The relevant superpotential is

$$W_l = \frac{1}{\Lambda}\left[h_{sl}(l_L H_d l^c)_1 \eta_{1l} + h_{5l}(l_L H_d l^c)_5 \eta_{5l} + h_{3l}(l_L H_d l^c)_3 \eta_{3l}\right] \ . \tag{41}$$

The Z_3 symmetry separates the neutrino and the charged lepton sectors and does not allow flavons of one sector to couple to the other sector at the leading order.

We specialize to a particular choice of symmetries already discussed in section (IIIA). This corresponds to $T_l = E$ and $S_\nu = E^2 f_1 H f_1 E$. The above S_ν can serve as an antisymmetry of the neutrino mass matrix if the 5-plet $\eta_{5\nu}$ has antisymmetric vacuum expectation value:

$$S_\nu(5)\, \langle \eta \rangle_{5\nu} = -\langle \eta \rangle_{5\nu} \ . \tag{42}$$

$S_\nu(5)$ in eq.(42) corresponds to the five dimensional representation of S_ν. This representation can be obtained from the basic generators defined as a, b, c in [19] by noting the correspondence $E = b$, $f_3 = a$ and $H = bc$. This leads to

$$S_\nu(5) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \\ \frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}}{2} & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix} \tag{43}$$

Antisymmetry of $\langle \eta_{5\nu} \rangle$ together with A_5 symmetry in W_ν results in the flavour antisymmetric mass matrix. It is worth noting that unlike in the case of symmetry, eq.(42) breaks the symmetry S_ν completely and it does not remain as a residual symmetry. But just as in the case with symmetry, a broken solution given in eq.(42) may also arise from the minimization of suitable superpotential but would need enlargement in the model. This is explicitly demonstrated [15] in a simpler case of the group A_4.

Denoting the vev $\langle \eta_{5\nu} \rangle$ as $(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5)^T$, eq.(42) is solved for

$$s_2 = s_3 - s_1 \ , \ \ s_4 = \sqrt{2} s_3 - \frac{3 s_1}{\sqrt{2}} \ , \ \ s_5 = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} s_1 \ . \tag{44}$$

Inserting this solution in eq.(40), we get the neutrino mass matrix

$$M_\nu^0 = m_0 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-3+\sqrt{5}+y(1-\sqrt{5})}{2\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{y}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-2\sqrt{5}+y(1+\sqrt{5})}{2\sqrt{2}} & \frac{y-1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{y}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{y-1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{3+\sqrt{5}-2y}{2\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{45}$$

which satisfies the flavour antisymmetry, eq.(1) with respect to $S_\nu = E^2 f_1 H f_1 E$. This matrix has only one complex parameter $y \equiv \frac{s_3}{s_1}$ apart from an overall scale. In particular, $\tilde{M}_\nu \equiv V_{S_\nu}^T M_\nu V_{S_\nu}$ has the form given in eq.(18) with

$$\tan\theta_\nu e^{i\beta_\nu} = -\frac{1+\mu_+\frac{s_3}{s_1}}{(\mu_+-\mu_-)+\mu_-\frac{s_3}{s_1}} \ , \tag{46}$$

where $V_{S_\nu} = E^2 f_1 V_H$ diagonalizes $S_\nu = E^2 f_1 H f_1 E$. The neutrino mixing matrix is then given by $U_\nu = E^2 f_1 V_H V_\nu$ with V_ν as given in eq.(21) and θ_ν, β_ν given by eq.(46) in terms of $\frac{s_3}{s_1}$. The charged lepton mixing matrix is analogously determined by the form of M_l obtained from W_l. W_l and the residual symmetry $T_l = E$ coincide with the one already discussed in[20]. The T_l invariant vacuum configuration discussed in [20] leads to the following charged lepton mass matrix

$$M_l = \begin{pmatrix} m_0 & m_1-m_2 & m_1+m_2 \\ m_1+m_2 & m_0 & m_1-m_2 \\ m_1-m_2 & m_1+m_2 & m_0 \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{47}$$

where $m_{0,1,2}$ respectively label the singlet, triplet and 5-plet contributions to M_l. $M_l M_l^\dagger$ is diagonalized by the matrix (11) which also diagonalizes T_l:

$$U_\omega^\dagger M_l M_l^\dagger U_\omega = \text{diag.}(m_1^2, m_2^2, m_3^2)$$

with eigenvalues

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1^2 &= m_0^2 + 4|m_1|^2 + 4m_{1R}m_0 \ , \\ \lambda_2^2 &= m_0^2 + |m_1|^2 + 3|m_2|^2 + 2\sqrt{3}\ Im(m_1 m_2^*) - 2m_0(m_{1R} + \sqrt{3}m_{2I}) \ , \\ \lambda_3^2 &= m_0^2 + |m_1|^2 + 3|m_2|^2 - 2\sqrt{3}\ Im(m_1 m_2^*) - 2m_0(m_{1R} - \sqrt{3}m_{2I}) \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Here, $m_{1R,2R}$ and $m_{1I,2I}$ respectively denote the real and imaginary parts of $m_{1,2}$. m_0 is assumed real without loss of generality.

The identification of eigenvalues $\lambda^2_{1,2,3}$ with the physical charged lepton masses $m^2_{e,\mu,\tau}$ depends on the choice of parameters $m_{0,1,2}$. In particular, one can choose these parameters in a way that gives $\lambda_2^2 = m_e^2$,$\lambda_1^2 = m_\mu^2$ and $\lambda_3^2 = m_\tau^2$. With this identification,

$$U_l = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \omega & 1 & \omega^2 \\ \omega^2 & 1 & \omega \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{49}$$

This U_l together with $U_\nu = E^2 f_1 V_H V_\nu$ gives the mixing matrix U which is already worked out in eq. (31). The above form of U_l leads to the identification $|U_{23}|^2 = s^2_{13}$, $|U_{13}|^2 = c^2_{13}s^2_{23}$. Values of θ_ν, β_ν giving correct s^2_{13}, s^2_{23} were already determined in section IIIA. This translates to the following values of the model parameter $\frac{s_3}{s_1}$ when eq.(46) is used:

$$\frac{s_3}{s_1} \approx 0.9979 e^{-0.7181 i} \ . \tag{50}$$

Non-zero neutrino masses remain degenerate at the leading order. They can be split and the solar angle can be determined by perturbations which break antisymmetry at the non-leading order. A simple perturbation can be generated by introducing a singlet flavon $\eta_{1\nu}$ transforming as $\eta_{1\nu} \to \omega^2 \eta_{1\nu}$ under Z_3. This flavon leads to a non-leading term

$$\frac{h_{1\nu}}{2\Lambda^2}(l_l \Delta l_L)_1 \eta_{1\nu}^2$$

in W_ν. This generates a diagonal perturbation which can be parameterized as

$$M_\nu = m_0(\hat{M}_\nu^0 + \epsilon I)$$

with $\hat{M}_\nu^0 \equiv \frac{M_\nu^0}{m_0}$ and $|\epsilon| << 1$. This simple perturbation is enough to generate the solar splitting without disturbing the zeroeth order values of s_{13}^2, s_{23}^2 significantly. One could vary $\frac{s_3}{s_1}$ around the zeroeth order value determined in eq.(50) and find the region of parameters which fits the data with $|\epsilon| < 0.1$. This procedure leads to a solution close to the best fit values of all parameters. For example, $\frac{s_3}{s_1} = 1.00019 e^{-0.711498 i}$ and $\epsilon = 0.0168241$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} &\sin^2\theta_{12} = 0.295455\ ,\ \sin^2\theta_{13} = 0.0235172\ , \\ &\sin^2\theta_{23} = 0.449634\ ,\ \frac{\Delta m^2_{\rm sol}}{\Delta m^2_{\rm atm}} = 0.0285398\ . \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

VI. SUMMARY

We have studied consequences of an ansatz of flavour antisymmetry in the context of the flavour group A_5 assuming that S_ν in eq.(1) and T_l in eq.(27) are contained in the group A_5. These assumptions constraint the mixing pattern which we have determined in various cases. The use of flavour antisymmetry in the context of the A_5 group necessarily leads to a degenerate pair of neutrinos in addition to a massless one. This is a good zeroeth order prediction. Small perturbations splitting the degeneracy can lead to a viable neutrino masses. The predicted neutrino mass hierarchy is inverted.

We have considered discrete subgroups Z_2 and $Z_2 \times Z_2$ of A_5 as residual symmetries of M_ν and discrete groups Z_3, Z_5, Z_2 and $Z_2 \times Z_2$ contained in A_5 as symmetries of $M_l M_l^\dagger$ and worked out the resulting mixing patterns at the leading order in all the cases. The third column of the mixing matrix and hence the angles θ_{13}, θ_{23} get determined at this order. Various predictions discussed in section III can be summarized as follows:

- It is possible to get a universal prediction of the maximal atmospheric mixing angle with the choice S_ν as Z_2 or $Z_2 \times Z_2$ and T_l as any element in Z_3, Z_5. For $S_\nu = Z_2$, one can also get the correct θ_{13} at the leading order while the case of $S_\nu = Z_2 \times Z_2$ predicts either $\theta_{13} = 0$ or large $s_{13}^2 \geq 0.1$.

- The case $T_l = Z_2$ and $S_\nu = Z_2 \times Z_2$ does not predict maximal θ_{23} but can be used to predict one of the entries of the third column. The other entry gets determined by an

unknown angle inherent with the use of the Z_2 groups. The viable predictions within this case are either $\theta_{13} = 0$ or $s_{23}c^2_{13} = 0.65$. The former requires large perturbation and we have presented a typical set of such perturbation which lead to correct description of masses and mixing angle.

- The case $S_\nu = Z_2$ and $T_l = Z_2 \times Z_2$ involves an unknown angle and a phase. Not all possible choices of S_ν, T_l in this category can lead to correct mixing in spite of the presence of two unknowns. We have identified cases which lead to correct description of the mixing angles θ_{13}, θ_{23}.

- The case of both S_ν and T_l belonging to different $Z_2 \times Z_2$ subgroups of A_5 is fully predictive without any unknowns. But none of the possible cases within this category lead even to a good zeroeth order prediction.

We have supplemented the group theoretical derivation of the mixing patterns in A_5 with a concrete example. We have determined the Higgs content and the required vacuum pattern which realizes one of the viable cases discussed group theoretically. The use of a concrete model also allows a systematic discussion of possible perturbations and we have given an example of a perturbation within the model which can be used to split the degeneracy of neutrinos and which can give the correct descriptions of all mixing angles and masses.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Work of ASJ was supported by BRNS (DAE) through the Raja Ramanna Fellowship.

[1] G. Altarelli and F. Feruglio, Rev.Mod.Phys. **82**, 2701 (2010), arXiv:1002.0211 [hep-ph].
[2] G. Altarelli, F. Feruglio, and L. Merlo, Fortsch.Phys. **61**, 507 (2013), arXiv:1205.5133 [hep-ph].
[3] A. Y. Smirnov, J.Phys.Conf.Ser. **335**, 012006 (2011), arXiv:1103.3461 [hep-ph].
[4] H. Ishimori, T. Kobayashi, H. Ohki, Y. Shimizu, H. Okada, *et al.*, Prog.Theor.Phys.Suppl. **183**, 1 (2010), arXiv:1003.3552 [hep-th].
[5] S. F. King and C. Luhn, Rept.Prog.Phys. **76**, 056201 (2013), arXiv:1301.1340 [hep-ph].
[6] C. Lam, Phys.Lett. **B656**, 193 (2007), arXiv:0708.3665 [hep-ph].
[7] C. Lam, Phys.Rev.Lett. **101**, 121602 (2008), arXiv:0804.2622 [hep-ph].
[8] C. Lam, Phys.Rev. **D78**, 073015 (2008), arXiv:0809.1185 [hep-ph].
[9] R. M. Fonseca and W. Grimus, (2014), arXiv:1410.4133 [hep-ph].
[10] D. Hernandez and A. Y. Smirnov, Phys.Rev. **D88**, 093007 (2013), arXiv:1304.7738 [hep-ph].
[11] A. S. Joshipura and K. M. Patel, Phys.Rev. **D90**, 036005 (2014), arXiv:1405.6106 [hep-ph].
[12] A. S. Joshipura and K. M. Patel, Phys.Lett. **B727**, 480 (2013), arXiv:1306.1890 [hep-ph].
[13] A. S. Joshipura and K. M. Patel, JHEP **1404**, 009 (2014), arXiv:1401.6397 [hep-ph].

[14] S. F. King and P. O. Ludl, (2016), arXiv:1605.01683 [hep-ph].
[15] A. S. Joshipura, JHEP **11**, 186 (2015), arXiv:1506.00455 [hep-ph].
[16] L. L. Everett and A. J. Stuart, Phys.Rev. **D79**, 085005 (2009), arXiv:0812.1057 [hep-ph].
[17] F. Feruglio and A. Paris, JHEP **1103**, 101 (2011), arXiv:1101.0393 [hep-ph].
[18] G.-J. Ding, L. L. Everett, and A. J. Stuart, Nucl.Phys. **B857**, 219 (2012), arXiv:1110.1688 [hep-ph].
[19] I. de Medeiros Varzielas and L. Lavoura, J.Phys. **G41**, 055005 (2014), arXiv:1312.0215 [hep-ph].
[20] A. S. Joshipura and K. M. Patel, Phys. Lett. **B749**, 159 (2015), arXiv:1507.01235 [hep-ph].
[21] W. Grimus and P. O. Ludl, J.Phys. **A45**, 233001 (2012), arXiv:1110.6376 [hep-ph].
[22] P. Harrison and W. Scott, Phys.Lett. **B547**, 219 (2002), arXiv:hep-ph/0210197 [hep-ph].
[23] W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, Phys.Lett. **B579**, 113 (2004), arXiv:hep-ph/0305309 [hep-ph].
[24] H.-J. He, W. Rodejohann, and X.-J. Xu, Phys. Lett. **B751**, 586 (2015), arXiv:1507.03541 [hep-ph].
[25] D. Forero, M. Tortola, and J. Valle, Phys.Rev. **D90**, 093006 (2014), arXiv:1405.7540 [hep-ph].
[26] M. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, and T. Schwetz, JHEP **1411**, 052 (2014), arXiv:1409.5439 [hep-ph].
[27] F. Capozzi, G. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, D. Montanino, *et al.*, (2013), arXiv:1312.2878 [hep-ph].
[28] H. S. Goh, R. N. Mohapatra, and S.-P. Ng, *New Initiatives for the NuMI Neutrino Beam Batavia, Illinois, May 2-4, 2002*, Phys. Lett. **B542**, 116 (2002), arXiv:hep-ph/0205131 [hep-ph].
[29] G. Altarelli and R. Franceschini, JHEP **03**, 047 (2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0512202 [hep-ph].
[30] W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, J. Phys. **G31**, 683 (2005), arXiv:hep-ph/0410279 [hep-ph].